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1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a separable Banach space, $C_0 = C([-r, 0], E)$ the space of continuous functions from $[-r, 0]$ to $E$. For any $T > 0$, $x : [-r, T] \rightarrow E$ and $t \in [0, T]$, define the function $x_t \in C_0$ by

$$x_t(s) = \tau(t)x(s) = x(t + s) \quad \forall s \in [-r, 0]$$

and consider the functional differential inclusion

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x_t) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T] \\
\tau(0)x = x_0 = \varphi \quad \text{on } [-r, 0],
\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $F$ is a set-valued function from $[0, T] \times C_0$ with nonempty convex compact values in $E$, and $\varphi \in C_0$. The existence of viable solutions for such problems with memory has been studied by several authors ([4], [5], [10], [12], [13], [14]). This class of problems is motivated by the evolution of control systems with feedbacks, dynamic evolutions and planning procedures in microeconomics, for more details and examples, see [1]. In [13], the existence of solutions in the invariance set $E_0 = \{ \varphi \in C_0, \varphi(0) \in D \}$ where $D$ is closed convex nonempty in $E$, has been established. The purpose of this paper is to show the existence of viable solutions in a set more natural introduced by [11] for a functional differential equations and called the fully constrained set: $E_D = \{ \varphi \in C_0, \varphi(s) \in D, \forall s \in [-r, 0] \}$. The following simple example (see [11]) shows that conditions of invariance for sets $E_0$ and $E_D$, may be different for the same problem. Consider the problem (1) with

$$F(t, x_t) = \{ ax_t(0) + bx_t(-r) \} = \{ ax(t) + b\tau(-r)x(t) \}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $a$ and $b$ are real constants. Let $D = \{ c \}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$. The conditions for invariance of $E_0$ and $E_D$ are respectively

$$a\varphi(0) + b\varphi(-r) = 0, \quad \varphi \in E_0$$
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\begin{equation}
\alpha \varphi(0) + b \varphi(-r) = 0, \quad \varphi \in E_D
\end{equation}

In the equation (2) the value \( \varphi(-r) \) could be any we want. Hence the condition (2) is satisfied if and only if \( a = b = 0 \), while the condition (3) holds if and only if \( a + b = 0 \).

To establish the main result, we adopt a discretization approach; we first obtain the invariance result for an intermediary set: \( E_s = \{ \varphi \in C_0, \varphi(s) \in D \} \) for any \( s \) fixed in \([-r, 0]\). Next, we give the result for \( E_D = \bigcap_{-r \leq s \leq 0} E_s \). we study also the case of variable constraint \( D = \Gamma(t) \), where \( \Gamma \) is a set-valued function with closed graph. Our approach is different from that of [11] since our method consists in transforming the delayed problem to a problem without delay and applying the results known in this case. Moreover, we weaken the regularity assumptions existing for this type of problems thanks to a set-valued version of Scorza-Dragoni Theorem.

### 1.1. Contributions

The main contribution of this paper is a generalization of existence results for viable solutions of differential inclusions with delay. We consider a more natural and general invariance set, called the "fully constrained set", as well for the case of a fixed set as of the variable case. Our results generalize those in [13], [11] and [3].

### 1.2. Organization

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the basic notions for set-valued mappings and functional differential inclusions. In Section 3, we give first a weak version for the existence of viable solutions to first order differential inclusion without delay. This result is used to extend the discretization approach to solve functional differential inclusions for a general and natural invariance set. Finally, the variable case of viability set is studied in Section 4.

### 2. Notations and preliminaries

Throughout the paper \( E \) will denote a separable Banach space with norm \( \| \cdot \| \), \( D \) a nonempty closed convex set of \( E \) and \( C_t = C([-r, t], E) \) the set of continuous functions from \([-r, t]\) to \( E \), equipped with the topology of uniform convergence. Clearly, if \( x \in C_T \), then \( x_t \in C_0 \) and the mapping \( x \mapsto x_t \) is continuous from \( C_T \) to \( C_0 \) in the sense of uniform convergence. For \( r > 0 \) and \( s \in [-r, 0] \), let define the set \( E_s = \{ \varphi \in C_0, \varphi(s) \in D \} \), equipped with the topology induced by the norm

\[ \| \varphi \|_s = \sup_{-r \leq \tau \leq 0} \| \varphi(\tau) \|, \quad \varphi \in E_s. \]

We denote by \( c(E) \) (resp. \( ck(E) \)) the set of nonempty closed (resp. convex compact) subsets of \( E \), \( \lambda \) the Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R} \), \( \tau_\lambda(I) \) the \( \sigma \)-algebra of measurable subsets of \( I \subset \mathbb{R} \), and \( B(D) \) the class of Borel measurable nonempty subsets of \( D \).

A function \( y : I = [0, T] \rightarrow E \) is said absolutely continuous if there exists a Lebesgue-Bochner integrable function \( \dot{y} \) from \( I \) to \( E \) such that \( y(t) = y(0) + \int_0^t \dot{y}(s)ds \). A set-valued function \( F : I \rightarrow ck(E) \) is scalarly \( \lambda \)-measurable if for any \( x' \) in the dual \( E' \) of \( E \) the scalar function \( \delta^*(x', F(\cdot)) \) is \( \lambda \)-measurable, \( \delta^*(\cdot, K) \) is the support function of \( K \), that is, for all \( x' \in E' \),

\[ \delta^*(x', K) = \sup_{x \in K} \langle x', x \rangle. \]

For a closed convex subset \( K \), the distance function is defined by

\[ d(x, K) = \sup_{x' \in E'} (\langle x', x \rangle - \delta^*(x', K)). \]

A set-valued function \( G \) from a topological space \( S \) to \( ck(E) \) is upper semi-continuous if for any closed subset \( U \) of \( E \), \( \{ x \in S : G(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset \} \) is closed. If \( G \) is upper semi-continuous, then \( G \) is scalarly upper semi-continuous, that
is, for any \( x' \in E' \), the scalar function \( \delta^*(x', G(\cdot)) \) is upper semi-continuous. Further, any upper semi-continuous set-valued function has closed graph.

The Bouligand tangent cone of \( D \) at the point \( x \) is defined by

\[
T_D(x) = \{ y \in E : \liminf_{h \to 0^+} h^{-1} d(x + hy, D) = 0 \}.
\]

If \( D \) is convex, then \( T_D(x) \) is convex. We refer to [8] and [9] for details concerning measurable set-valued functions. We end this section with the following result due to [7].

**Theorem 1**

Let \( D \) be a closed convex nonempty subset of \( E \) and \( F \) be a set-valued function defined on \([0, T] \times D\) with values in \( \text{ck}(E) \) verifying

(i) \( F \) is \( \tau_\lambda([0, T]) \otimes B(D) \)-measurable,

(ii) for any \( t \) fixed in \([0, T]\), \( F(t, \cdot) \) is upper semi-continuous on \( D \),

(iii) there exists a balanced set \( K \in \text{ck}(E) \) such that for all \((t, x) \in [0, T] \times D\),

\[
F(t, x) \subset (1 + \|x\|)K
\]

(iv) \( F(t, x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times D \).

Then, for any \( x_0 \in D \), there exists an absolutely continuous function \( x : [0, T] \to E \), verifying

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
x(t) &\in D, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x(0) &= x_0.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(5)

3. Fully constrained sets

We shall say that a continuous function \( x : [-r, T] \to E \) is a solution of

\[
\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T]
\]  

(6)

with the initial value \( \varphi \in C_0 \) if \( \tau(0)x = \varphi \) on \([-r, 0]\) and \( x \) is an absolutely continuous function on \([0, T]\), which verifies (6) almost everywhere on \([0, T]\). Let \( A \) be a subset of \( C_0 \), we shall say that a solution \( x \) of (6) is viable in \( A \) if \( x_t \in A \) for all \( t \in [0, T] \). \( A \) is said to be strongly invariant if for any initial function \( \varphi \in A \), all solutions are viable in \( A \).

First, let state a weak version of Theorem 1 by using a set-valued version of Scorza-Dragoni Theorem and the Dugundji Theorem.

**Proposition 1**

Let \( D \) be a closed convex nonempty subset of \( E \), \( F \) a set-valued function defined on \([0, T] \times D\) with values in \( \text{ck}(E) \) verifying

(i) \( \forall x \in D, t \mapsto F(t, x) \) is measurable,

(ii) \( \forall t \in [0, T], x \mapsto F(t, x) \) is upper semi-continuous on \( D \),

(iii) there exists a balanced set \( K \in \text{ck}(E) \) such that for all \((t, x) \in [0, T] \times D\),

\[
F(t, x) \subset (1 + \|x\|)K
\]

(iv) \( F(t, x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times D \).

Then, for any \( x_0 \in D \), there exists an absolutely continuous function \( x : [0, T] \to E \), verifying

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &\in F(t, x(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
x(t) &\in D, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x_0 &= x(0).
\end{aligned}
\]  

(7)
Proof: Using the Scorza-Dragoni’s Theorem (see [6]), there is a set-valued function \( F_0 : [0, T] \times D \rightarrow ck(E) \), which is globally measurable and has the following properties:

1. There is a set \( N \subset [0, T] \), independent of \((t, x)\) such that \( \lambda(N) = 0 \) and
   \[
   F_0(t, x) \subset F(t, x), \text{ for all } t \in [0, T] \setminus N \text{ and for all } x \in D;
   \]

2. if \( x, z : [0, T] \rightarrow E \) are measurable mappings with \( z(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \) a.e., then \( z(t) \in F_0(t, x(t)) \) a.e.

3. for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there is a compact subset \( J_\varepsilon \subset [0, T] \) such that \( \lambda([0, T] \setminus J_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon \), the restriction of \( F_0 \) on \( J_\varepsilon \times D \) is upper semi-continuous and
   \[
   \emptyset \neq F_0(t, x) \subset F(t, x); \text{ for all } (t, x) \in J_\varepsilon \times D.
   \]

By property (3), there exists a sequence of compact sets \( J_\varepsilon \subset [0, T] \) with \( \lambda([0, T] \setminus J_\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \) such that the restriction of \( F_0 \) to \( J_\varepsilon \times D \) is upper semi-continuous and has nonempty values. We may also assume that \( (J_\varepsilon) \) is increasing. By Dugundji’s Theorem (see [2]), there is an upper semi-continuous extension \( \tilde{F}_n \) of \( F_0/J_\varepsilon \times D \), and

\[
\tilde{F}_n(t, x) \subset (1 + \|x(t)\|)K.
\]

Since \( T_D(x) \) is convex and \( F_0 \) satisfies the condition (iv), it is therefore obvious that \( \tilde{F}_n \) satisfies (iv) too.

So \( \tilde{F}_n \) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Thus, for every \( x_0 \in D \) there exists an absolutely continuous function \( x_n : [0, T] \rightarrow E \) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
    \dot{x}_n(t) \in \tilde{F}_n(t, x_n(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
    x_n(t) \in D \forall t \in [0, T] \\
    x_n(0) = x_0
\end{cases}
\]

By (8) and (9) we have for almost every \( t \) in \([0, T]\),

\[
\dot{x}_n(t) \in \tilde{F}_n(t, x_n(t)) \subset (1 + \|x_n(t)\|)K.
\]

Since \( K \) is compact, there is a strictly positive number \( M \) such that

\[
\tilde{F}_n(t, x_n(t)) \subset (1 + \|x_n(t)\|)M \bar{B}_E,
\]

where \( \bar{B}_E \) is the closed united ball. Moreover, \( x_n \) is absolutely continuous on \([0, T]\), then

\[
\|x_n(t) - x_n(0)\| \leq \int_0^t M(1 + \|x_n(w)\|)dw \quad \forall t \in [0, T].
\]

By applying the Gronwall inequality, we conclude that for all \( t \)

\[
\|x_n(t)\| \leq (\|x_n(0)\| + MT)e^{MT}
\]

and then, for almost every \( t \) in \([0, T]\)

\[
\dot{x}_n(t) \in \tilde{F}_n(t, x_n(t)) \subset (1 + l)K
\]

with \( l = (\|x_0(0)\| + MT)e^{MT} \). Since \( K \) is convex compact and from (10), \( \dot{x}_n \) is relatively compact in \( L^1_D([0, T]) \). Thus we can extract a subsequence still denoted \((\dot{x}_n)\) for simplicity which converges \( \sigma(L^1, L^\infty) \) to a function \( y \in L^1_K \). Furthermore, since \( (x_n) \) is absolutely continuous on \([0, T]\) and by using (10), we obtain

\[
\|\dot{x}_n(t)\| \leq (1 + l)M,
\]

the sequence is equi-continuous, and

\[
\forall t \in [0, T], \ (x_n(t)) \subset x_n(0) + T(1 + l)K.
\]
By Ascoli’s Theorem, \((x_n)\) converges uniformly on \([0, T]\) to \(x\) with

\[
x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t y(w)dw \quad \forall t \in [0, T]
\]

so \(\dot{x}(t) = y(t)\) a.e., since \(D\) is closed,

\[
x(t) \in D \quad \forall t \in [0, T].
\]

We will show that for all \(t \in [0, T], \quad \dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(t))\) almost everywhere.

As \((x_n), (\dot{x}_n)\) are two measurable mappings satisfying

\[
\dot{x}_n(t) \in \tilde{F}_n(t, x(t)) \text{ a.e.,}
\]

then by property (2), for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), there is a Lebesgue null set \(N_n \subset J_n\) such that

\[
\dot{x}_n(t) \in \tilde{F}_0(t, x_n(t)) \quad \forall t \in J_n \setminus N_n.
\]

Let \(N_0 = (\{0, T\} \setminus \cup_n J_n) \cup (\cup_n N_n)\) which is Lebesgue-negligible. Indeed,

\[
\lambda(N_0) = \lambda((\{0, T\} \setminus \cup_n J_n) \cup (\cup_n N_n))
\]

\[
\leq \lambda((\{0, T\} \setminus \cup_n J_n) + \lambda(\cup_n N_n)
\]

\[
\leq (\cap_n ((\{0, T\} \setminus J_n)) + \sum_n \lambda(N_n),
\]

we have that the set \(J_n\) has finite measure and the sequence \((\{0, T\} \setminus J_n)\) is decreasing because \((J_n)\) is increasing, thus

\[
\lambda(\cap_n ((\{0, T\} \setminus J_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\lambda(\{0, T\} \setminus J_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0,
\]

and therefore

\[
\lambda(N_0) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(\{0, T\} \setminus J_n) + \sum_n \lambda(N_n) = 0.
\]

For all \(t \in [0, T] \setminus N_0\), there is an integer \(n_0 = n_0(t) \in N\) such that for all \(n \geq n_0, t \in J_n \setminus N_n\), so by the relation (11), we obtain

\[
\dot{x}(t) \in \tilde{F}_0(t, x(t)), \quad \forall n \geq n_0.
\]

On the other hand, since \(F_0\) is upper semi-continuous on \(J_n \times D\) and \(x_n(t) \to x(t)\) when \(n \to \infty\), it follows that for all \(x' \in E'

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \delta^*(x', F_0(t, x_n(t))) \leq \delta^*(x', F_0(t, x(t))).
\]

For \(t \notin N_0\) and \(n \geq n_0\), we have

\[
\langle x', \dot{x}_n(t) \rangle \leq \delta^*(x', F_0(t, x(t)));
\]

thus

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle x', \dot{x}_n(t) \rangle \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \delta^*(x', F_0(t, x_n(t))) \leq \delta^*(x', F_0(t, x(t)));
\]

by Fatou's lemma we deduce that for every measurable set \(B \subset [0, T]\) and every \(x' \in E'

\[
\int_B \langle x', \dot{x}(t) \rangle \, dt = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_B \langle x', \dot{x}_n(t) \rangle \, dt
\]

\[
= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_B \langle x', \dot{x}_n(t) \rangle \, dt
\]

\[
\leq \int_B \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle x', \dot{x}_n(t) \rangle \, dt
\]
\begin{equation}
\leq \int_B \delta^\ast(x', F_0(t, x(t)))dt.
\end{equation}

So
\begin{equation}
\langle x', \dot{x}(t) \rangle \leq \delta^\ast(x', F_0(t, x(t))) \ a.e.,
\end{equation}

then
\begin{equation}
\sup_{x' \in E'} \langle x', \dot{x}(t) \rangle - \delta^\ast(x', F_0(t, x(t))) \leq 0,
\end{equation}

since \( F_0 \) has closed convex values, by (4) we get \( d(\dot{x}(t), F_0(t, x(t))) = 0 \), which implies that \( \dot{x}(t) \in F_0(t, x(t)) \ a.e. \).

By property (1),
\begin{equation}
\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(t)), \ \forall t \in [0, T] \setminus N_0,
\end{equation}

which shows that
\begin{equation}
\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(t)) \ a.e. \ t \in [0, T].
\end{equation}

Now, let’s give the existence of viable solutions for \( E_s, \ s \in [-r, 0] \).

**Theorem 2**

For \( s \) fixed in \([ -r, 0 ] \), let \( D \) be a closed convex nonempty subset of \( E \) and \( F \) a set-valued function defined on \([ 0, T ] \times C_0 \) with values in \( cK(E) \) verifying
\begin{enumerate}[(i)]
\item \( \forall t \in E_s, t \mapsto F(t, \theta) \) is measurable,
\item \( \forall t \in [0, T], \theta \mapsto F(t, \theta) \) is upper semi-continuous on \( E_s \),
\item there exists a balanced set \( K \in cK(E) \) such that for all \((t, \theta) \in [0, T] \times E_0\), \( F(t, \theta) \subseteq (1 + \|\theta(0)\|)K \)
\item \( F(t, \theta) \cap T_D(\theta(0)) \neq \emptyset, \ \forall (t, \theta) \in [0, T] \times E_0 \).
\end{enumerate}

Then, for any \( \varphi_0 \in E_s \), there exists a continuous function \( x^s : [-r, T] \rightarrow E \), absolutely continuous on \([0, T]\), verifying
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
&\dot{x}^s(t) \in F(t, \tau(t-s)x^s) \ a.e \ on \ [0, T] \\
&\tau(t-s)x^s = x^s_{t-s} \in E_s, \ \forall t \in [0, T] \\
&x^s(t) = \varphi_0(t) \ \forall t \in [-r, 0].
\end{aligned}
\tag{12}
\end{equation}

**Proof:** Let \( \mathcal{P}_n \) be a subdivision of \([0, T]\) defined by
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{P}_n = \{ t^n_i = T \frac{i}{2^n}, \ i = 0, 1, ..., 2^n \}.
\end{equation}

Let \( x \in D \), we define \( f^n_1 : [-r, t^n_1] \rightarrow E \) by
\begin{equation}
f^n_1(u) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi_0(u) & u \in [-r, s] \\
\varphi_0(s) + \frac{u-s}{t^n_1}(x - \varphi_0(s)) & u \in [s, s + t^n_1] \\
x & u \in [s + t^n_1, t^n_1]
\end{cases}
\tag{13}
\end{equation}

and \( \tau(t^n_1) : \mathcal{C}_{t^n_1} \rightarrow C_0, \ f^n_1 \mapsto \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1 : \)
\begin{equation}
\tau(t^n_1)f^n_1(u) = f^n_1(u + t^n_1) \ \ u \in [-r, 0].
\end{equation}

Obviously, we have \( f^n_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{t^n_1}, \ \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1(0) = x \in D \), so \( \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1 \in E_s \subset C_0 \).

Moreover, the function \( x \mapsto \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1 \) is 1-Lipschitz:
\begin{equation}
\|\tau(t^n_1)f^n_1 - \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1\|_s = \sup_{-r \leq u \leq s} \|f^n_1(u + t^n_1) - f^n_1(u + t^n_1)\|.
\end{equation}
\begin{align*}
= \sup_{s-t^*_1 \leq u \leq s} \frac{u+t^*_1-nu}{2^{-n}T} (x-y) \\
= \|x-y\|.
\end{align*}

Now, define the set-valued function \( S^n_1 : [0, t^n_1] \times D \rightarrow ck(E) \) by:

\[
S^n_1(t, x) = F(t, \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1) \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, t^n_1] \times D. \tag{14}
\]

Since \( F \) is measurable and \( x \mapsto \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1 \) is \( 1 \)-Lipschitz, \( S^n_1(\cdot, x) \) is obviously measurable. Also, \( S^n_1(t, \cdot) \) is upper semi-continuous on \( D \) because \( F(t, \cdot) \) is so on \( E_\phi \), \( \forall t \in [0, T] \). Moreover, conditions (iii) and (iv) give for any \( t \in [0, t^n_1] \) and \( x \in D \),

\[
S^n_1(t, x) = F(t, \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1) \subset (1 + \|f^n_1(t^n_1)\|)K = (1 + \|x\|)K,
\]

and

\[
S^n_1(t, x) \cap T_D(x) = F(t, \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1) \cap T_D(\tau(t^n_1)f^n_1(0)) \neq \emptyset.
\]

So \( S^n_1 \) verifies hypotheses of Proposition 1, then for any \( \varphi_0 \in D \) there exists an absolutely continuous function \( x^n_1 \) from \([0, t^n_1]\) to \( E \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{x}^n_1(t) &\in S(t, x^n_1(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, t^n_1] \\
x^n_1(0) &\in \varphi_0(0).
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

So \( x^n_1 \) verifies

\[
\hat{x}^n_1(t) \in F(t, \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1) \quad \text{ a.e. } \quad t \in [0, t^n_1].
\]

Put

\[
x^n_1(t) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi_0(t) & \forall t \in [-r, 0] \\
x^n_1(t) & \forall t \in [0, t^n_1].
\end{cases}
\]

(16)

Then, \( x^n_1 : [-r, t^n_1] \rightarrow E, x^n_1 \) absolutely continuous on \([0, t^n_1]\), \( x^n_1(t) \in D \).

Similarly, for any \( x \in D \), we define \( f^n_2 : [-r, t^n_2] \rightarrow E \)

\[
f^n_2(u) = \begin{cases} 
x^n_1(u-s) & u \in [-r, t^n_1+s] \\
x^n_1(t^n_2) + \frac{u-t^n_1-s}{2^{-n}T}(x-x^n_1(t)) & u \in [t^n_1+s, t^n_2+s] \\
x & u \in [s+t^n_2, t^n_2]
\end{cases}
\]

(17)

and \( \tau(t^n_2) : C_{t^n_2} \rightarrow C_0, \tau(t^n_2)f^n_2 = f^n_2 \in E_\phi \) and \( x \mapsto \tau(t^n_2)f^n_2 \) is \( 1 \)-lipschitz:

\[
\|\tau(t^n_2)f^n_2 - \tau(t^n_2)f^n_2\| \leq \sup_{s-2^n \leq u \leq s} \frac{u+t^n_2-t^n_1-s}{2^nT} (x-y) = \|x-y\|.
\]

Define again \( S^n_2 : [t^n_1, t^n_2] \times D \rightarrow ck(E) \)

\[
S^n_2(t, x) = F(t, \tau(t^n_1)f^n_1) \quad \forall (t, x) \in [t^n_1, t^n_2] \times D. \tag{18}
\]

Also, the hypotheses on \( F \) ensure that \( S^n_2(\cdot, x) \) is measurable, \( S^n_2(t, \cdot) \) upper semi-continuous on \( D, \forall t \in [0, T] \),

\[
S^n_2(t, x) \subset (1 + \|x\|)K, \quad \forall (t, x) \in [t^n_1, t^n_2] \times D
\]

and

\[
S^n_2(t, x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset.
\]
Then, there exists an absolutely continuous function $x_2^n$ from $[t^n_1, t^n_2]$ on $E$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_2^n(t) \in S(t, x_2^n(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [t^n_1, t^n_2] \\
x_2^n(t) = x_2^n(t^n_1) + \int_{t^n_1}^{t} \dot{x}_2^n(w) dw \quad \forall t \in [t^n_1, t^n_2] \\
x_2^n(t) \in D \quad \forall t \in [t^n_1, t^n_2] \\
x_2^n(t^n_1) = x_2^n(t^n_1) = x_1^n(t^n_1).
\end{cases}
$$

(19)

So $x_2^n$ verifies

$$
\dot{x}_2^n(t) \in F(t, \tau(t_2^n)f_2^{x_2^n(t)}) \text{ a.e. on } [t^n_1, t^n_2].
$$

(20)

Put

$$
x^n(t) = x_2^n(t) \quad \forall t \in [t^n_1, t^n_2].
$$

Then, $x^n : [-r, t^n_2] \rightarrow E$, $x^n$ absolutely continuous on $[0, t^n_2]$, $x^n(t) \in D$. By induction, we define a function $x^n : [-r, t^n_k] \rightarrow E$, $x^n$ absolutely continuous on $[0, t^n_k]$, $x^n(t) \in D$ such that

$$
x^n(t) = \begin{cases}
\varphi_0(t) \quad \forall t \in [-r, 0] \\
x_1^n(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, t^n_k] \\
\cdots \\
x_2^n(t) \quad \forall t \in [t^n_{k-1}, t^n_k]
\end{cases}
$$

(21)

and

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_n(t) \in F(t, \tau(t^n_k)f_x^{x_n(t)}) \text{ a.e. on } [t^n_{k-1}, t^n_k] \\
x^n(t) = x^n(t^n_{k-1}) + \int_{t^n_{k-1}}^{t} \dot{x}_n(w) dw \quad \forall t \in [t^n_{k-1}, t^n_k] \\
x^n(t) \in D \quad \forall t \in [t^n_{k-1}, t^n_k]
\end{cases}
$$

(22)

and construct a solution on $[t^n_k, t^n_{k+1}]$.

For any $x \in D$, define $f_{k+1}^x : [-r, t^n_{k+1}] \rightarrow E$ :

$$
f_{k+1}^x(u) = \begin{cases}
x^n(u-s) \quad u \in [-r, t^n_k + s] \\
x^n(t^n_k) + \frac{u-t^n_k}{x^n(t^n_k)}(x - x^n(t^n_k)) \quad u \in [t^n_k + s, t^n_{k+1} + s] \\
x^n(t^n_{k+1}) \quad u \in [s + t^n_{k+1}, t^n_{k+1}] 
\end{cases}
$$

(23)

$$
\tau(t^n_{k+1}) : C_{t^n_{k+1}} \rightarrow C_0, \quad \tau(t^n_{k+1})f_{k+1}^x(0) = f_{k+1}^x(t^n_{k+1})
$$

(24)

Let: $S^n_{k+1} : [t^n_k, t^n_{k+1}] \times D \rightarrow cK(E)$

$$
S^n_{k+1}(t, x) = F(t, \tau(t^n_{k+1})f_{k+1}^x).
$$

(25)

Then, $S^n_{k+1}(\cdot, x)$ is measurable, $S^n_{k+1}(t, \cdot)$ is upper semi-continuous on $D$ for any $t \in [0, T]$ and verifies

$$
S^n_{k+1}(t, x) \subset (1 + ||x||)K
$$

and

$$
S^n_{k+1}(t, x) \cap T_D(x) \neq \emptyset.
$$

Then, there exists an absolutely continuous function $x^n_{k+1} : [t^n_k, t^n_{k+1}] \rightarrow E$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}^n_{k+1}(t) \in S(t, x^n_{k+1}(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [t^n_k, t^n_{k+1}] \\
x^n_{k+1}(t) = x^n_{k+1}(t^n_k) + \int_{t^n_k}^{t} \dot{x}^n_{k+1}(w) dw \quad \forall t \in [t^n_k, t^n_{k+1}] \\
x^n_{k+1}(t) \in D \quad \forall t \in [t^n_k, t^n_{k+1}] \\
x^n_{k+1}(t^n_k) = x^n_{k+1}(t^n_{k+1})
\end{cases}
$$

(26)
Put $x_n^a(t) = x_{n+1}^a(t)$ on $[t_n^a, t_{n+1}^a]$, and for $t$ in $[t_n^a, t_{n+1}^a]$, $\theta_n(t) = t_n^a$, $\delta_n(t) = t_{n+1}^a$, $\theta_n(T) = T$. Then $x_n^a$ is continuous on $[-r, T]$, absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 
\dot{x}_n^a(t) \in F(t, \tau(\delta_n(t)))f_n^x(t) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
x_n^a(0) + \int_0^t \dot{x}_n^a(w)dw \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x_n^a(t) \in D \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x_n^a(t) = \varphi_0(t) \forall t \in [-r, 0] 
\end{cases}$$

(27)

where for any $t$ in $[0, T]$, \(f_n^x(t) \in C_{\delta_n(t)}\)

$$f_n^x(t)(u) = \begin{cases} 
x_n^a(u-s) & u \in [-r, \theta_n(t) + s] \\
x_n^a(\theta_n(t)) + \frac{u-\theta_n(t)-s}{2n^2} (x_n^a(t) - x_n^a(\theta_n(t))) & u \in [\theta_n(t) + s, \delta_n(t) + s] \\
x_n^a(t) & u \in [s + \delta_n(t), \delta_n(t)]. 
\end{cases}$$

(28)

Consequently, we have obtained a sequence $(x_n^a)$ of continuous functions on $[-r, T]$, absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$, and $(f_n^x(t))$ in $C_T$ verifying (27).

Let us to show that $(x_n^a)$ converge uniformly to an absolutely continuous function on $[0, T]$. By $(iii)$ and (27) we have for almost every $t$ in $[0, T]$, there exists $K \in cK(E)$ such that

$$\dot{x}_n^a(t) \in F(t, \tau(\delta_n(t)))f_n^x(t) \subset (1 + ||\tau(\delta_n(t))f_n^x(0)||)K.$$  

Since $K$ is compact, there is a strictly positive number $M$ such that

$$F(t, \tau(\delta_n(t)))f_n^x(t) \subset (1 + ||x_n^a(t)||)M\tilde{B}_E$$

moreover, $x_n^a$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$, then

$$||x_n^a(t) - \varphi_0(0)|| \leq \int_0^t M(1 + ||x_n^a(w)||)dw \forall t \in [0, T].$$

By applying the Gronwall inequality, we conclude that for all $t$

$$||x_n^a(t)|| \leq (||\varphi_0(0)|| + MT)e^{MT}$$

and then, for almost every $t$ in $[0, T]$

$$\dot{x}_n^a(t) \in F(t, \tau(\delta_n(t)))f_n^x(t) \subset (1 + l)K$$

(29)

with $l = (||\varphi_0(0)|| + MT)e^{MT}$. Since $K$ is convex compact and from (29), $(\dot{x}_n^a)$ is relatively compact in $L^1_E([0, T])$. Thus we can extract a subsequence still denoted $(\dot{x}_n^a)$ for simplicity which converges $\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)$ to a function $\dot{y}^a \in L^1_E$. Furthermore, since $(x_n^a)$ is absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$ and by using (29), we obtain

$$||\dot{x}_n^a(t)|| \leq (1 + l)M,$$

the sequence is equicontinuous, and for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$(x_n^a(t))_n \subset \varphi_0(0) + T(1 + l)K.$$

By Ascoli’s theorem, $(x_n^a)$ converges uniformly on $[0, T]$ to $x^a$ with

$$x^a(t) = \varphi_0(0) + \int_0^t \dot{y}(w)dw \forall t \in [0, T]$$
Finally, by using a well known closure’s theorem (see Remark 1), we can take $x^s = \varphi_0$ on $[-r, 0]$. To complete the proof, we’ll show that for almost every $t \in [0, T],$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \tau(t) f_n^{x_n(t)}(t) = \tau(t) x^s$$

in $E_s$.

$$\|\tau(\delta_n(t)) f_n^{x_n(t)}(t) - \tau(t-s) x^s\|_s = \sup_{-r \leq u \leq s-2^{-n}} \|x_n^s(u + \delta_n(t) - s) - x_n^s(u + t - s)\|$$

$$+ \sup_{s-2^{-n} \leq u \leq s} \|x_n^s(\theta_n(t)) + \frac{u - s + \theta_n(t) - \delta_n(t)}{2^n T} (x_n^s(t) - x_n^s(\theta_n(t))) - x^s(u + t - s)\|$$

$$\leq \sup_{-r \leq u \leq s-2^{-n}} \|x_n^s(u + \delta_n(t) - s) - x^s(u + \delta_n(t))\| + \sup_{s-2^{-n} \leq u \leq s} \|x_n^s(t) - x^s(u + t - s)\|$$

$$+ \sup_{s-2^{-n} \leq u \leq s} \|\frac{u - s}{2^n T} (x_n^s(\theta_n(t)) - x_n^s(t))\| + \sup_{s-2^{-n} \leq u \leq s} \|x_n^s(t) - x^s(u + t - s)\|$$

$$\leq \|x_n^s(\theta_n(t)) - x_n^s(t)\| + \sup_{s-2^{-n} \leq u \leq s} \|x_n^s(t) - x^s(u + t - s)\| + \|x_n^s(t) - x^s(t)\|.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n(t) = t,$$

$x^s$ and $x_n^s$ are continuous, we conclude that $\tau(\delta_n(t)) f_n^{x_n(t)}(t)$ converges to $\tau(t-s)x^s$.

Finally, by using a well known closure’s theorem (see [8]), we obtain

$$\begin{cases}
x^s(t) \in F(t, \tau(t-s)x^s) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
\tau(t-s)x^s = x_{t-s}^s \in E_s \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x^s(t) = \varphi_0(t) \forall t \in [-r, 0].
\end{cases}$$

**Remark 1**

In assumptions (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 2, we can replace $E_0$ by $E_s$ for $s$ fixed in $[-r, 0]$, since by construction, we have

$$\tau(\delta_n(t)) f_n^{x_n(t)}(t) \in E_u \forall u \in [s, 0]$$

Then,

$$\tau(\delta_n(t)) f_n^{x_n(t)}(t) \in \bigcap_{s \leq u \leq 0} E_u;$$

in particular, $E_D = \bigcap_{-r \leq u \leq 0} E_u$ then we deduce the following result.

**Theorem 3**

Let $E_D = \{ \varphi \in C_0, \varphi(s) \in D, \forall s \in [-r, 0] \}$. Suppose that

(i) for all $\theta \in E_D$, $F(t, \theta)$ is measurable,

(ii) for all $t \in [0, T], F(t, \theta)$ upper semi-continuous on $E_D$,

(iii) there is a balanced convex compact $K$ in $E$ such that

$$F(t, \theta) \subset (1 + \|\theta(0)\|)K, \forall (t, \theta) \in [0, T] \times E_0.$$

(iv) $F(t, \theta) \cap T_{D\theta}(0) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall (t, \theta) \in [0, T] \times E_0$.

Then, $\forall \varphi_0 \in E_D$, there exists a continuous function $x : [-r, T] \rightarrow E$, absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &\in F(t, \tau(t)x) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
\tau(t-s)x = x_{t-s} &\forall t \in [0, T] \\
x(t) = \varphi_0(t) &\forall t \in [-r, 0].
\end{align*}
$$

(30)

4. Case of variable constraint

In the case of a variable constraint ($D = \Gamma(t)$), we obtain a version of existence theorem by reducing the problem to a problem without delay and applying the following result due to [3].

**Theorem 4**

Let $\Gamma$ be a set-valued function with closed graph $G$, $F : G \rightarrow ck(E)$ be an upper semi-continuous set-valued function such that $\exists K \in ck(E)$:

$$F(t, x) \subset (1 + \|x\|)K, \quad \forall (t, x) \in G.$$ 

Suppose that for all $t \in [0, T], x \in \Gamma(t)$, and $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists (t_\epsilon, x_\epsilon) \in G$ such that

$$0 < t_\epsilon - t \leq \epsilon, \quad \frac{x_\epsilon - x}{t_\epsilon - t} \in F(t, x) + \epsilon B_E.$$ 

Then, for all $a \in \Gamma(0)$, there exists an absolutely continuous function $X : [0, T] \rightarrow E$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
X(t) = a + \int_0^t \dot{X}(s) ds &\quad \forall t \in [0, T] \\
X(t) &\in \Gamma(t) \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \\
\dot{X}(t) &\in F(t, X(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T].
\end{align*}
$$

(31)

Let’s define, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $s \in [-r, 0]$, the variable fully constrained set

$$H_s(t) = \{ \varphi \in C_0 : \varphi(s) \in \Gamma(t) \}.$$ 

We are able to give the existence of viable solution in these sets.

**Theorem 5**

Let $\Gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow c(E)$ be a set-valued function with closed graph, $F : [0, T] \times C_0 \rightarrow ck(E)$ be a globally upper semi-continuous set-valued function (i.e. upper semi-continuous on $[0, T] \times H_s(t)$) such that there is a balanced convex compact $K \in E$:

$$F(t, \varphi) \subset (1 + \|\varphi(0)\|)K, \quad \forall (t, \varphi) \in [0, T] \times H_0(t).$$

Suppose that for all $t \in [0, T], \epsilon \in H_0(t)$, and $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists (t_\epsilon, \varphi_\epsilon) \in gr(H_0)$ such that

$$0 < t_\epsilon - t \leq \epsilon, \quad \frac{\varphi_\epsilon(0) - \varphi(0)}{t_\epsilon - t} \in F(t, \varphi) + \epsilon B_E.$$ 

Then, for all $\varphi_0 \in H_s(t)$, there exists an absolutely continuous function $x^* : [-r, T] \rightarrow E$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}^*(t) &\in F(t, \tau(t-s)x^*) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
\tau(t-s)x^* = x^*_{t-s} &\in H_s(t) \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x^*(t) = \varphi_0(t) &\forall t \in [-r, 0].
\end{align*}
$$

(32)
Proof: The proof is the same as that of Theorem 2, the difference being in the condition \((iv)\). We define the subdivision \(\mathcal{P}_n\), the function \(f^x_1\) and the set-valued function \(S^0_1\) as in (13) and (14). The set-valued function \(S^0_1\) satisfies the tangential condition \(\forall t \in [0, T], \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists (t_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon) \in gr(H_0)\) such that

\[
0 < t_\varepsilon - t \leq \varepsilon, \quad \frac{\varphi_\varepsilon(0) - \varphi(0)}{t_\varepsilon - t} \in F(t, \varphi) + \varepsilon B_E.
\]

Indeed let \((t, x) \in gr(\Gamma)\) and assume \(\varphi = \tau(t^*_n)f^x_1\). We obtain \(\varphi \in C([-r, 0], E)\) and \(\varphi(0) = f^x_1(t^*_n) = x \in \Gamma(t)\) i.e. \(\varphi \in H_0(t)\).

Let \(\varepsilon > 0\), by the condition \((iii)\), there exists \((t_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon) \in gr(H_0)\) such that

\[
0 < t_\varepsilon - t \leq \varepsilon, \quad \frac{\varphi_\varepsilon(0) - \varphi(0)}{t_\varepsilon - t} \in S^0_1(t, x) + \varepsilon B_E.
\]

So \(S^0_n\) verifies hypotheses of Theorem 4, then there exists an absolutely continuous function \(x^*_1\) from \([0, t^*_1]\) to \(E\) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
x^*_1(t) = \varphi(0) + \int_0^t x^*_1(w)\,dw & \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x^*_1(t) \in \Gamma(t) & \forall t \in [0, t^*_1] \\
x^*_1(t) \in S^0_1(t, x^*_1(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, t^*_1].
\end{cases}
\]  

(33)

with

\[
x^*_1(t) \in F(t, \tau(t^*_1)f^x_1(t^*_1)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, t^*_1].
\]

By following the same procedure of the proof of Theorem 2 we deduce that there exists an absolutely continuous function \(x^*_n : [-r, T] \rightarrow E\) satisfying

\[
\begin{cases}
x^*_n(t) \in F(t, \tau(t^*_k)f^x_1(t^*_k)) \text{ a.e. on } [t^*_k, t^*_k+1] \\
x^*_n(t) = x^*_n(t^*_k-1) + \int_{t^*_k}^{t^*_k+1} x^*_n(w)\,dw & \forall t \in [t^*_k, t^*_k+1] \\
x^*_n(t) \in D & \forall t \in [t^*_k, t^*_k+1]
\end{cases}
\]  

(34)

for all \(0 \leq k \leq 2^n\). For any \(t \in [0, T]\), \(f^x_1(t) \in C([-r, \delta_n(t)], E)\)

\[
f^x_n(t)(u) = \begin{cases}
x^*_n(u - s) & u \in [-r, \theta_n(t) + s] \\
x^*_n(\theta_n(t)) + \frac{u - \theta_n(t) - s}{2 \varepsilon} (x^*_n(t) - x^*_n(\theta_n(t))) & u \in [\theta_n(t) + s, \delta_n(t) + s] \\
x^*_n(t) & u \in [\delta_n(t) + s, \delta_n(t)].
\end{cases}
\]  

(35)

It is clear by construction that \(x^*_n\) is an absolutely continuous functions on \([0, T]\) verifying

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}^*_n(t) \in F(t, \tau(\delta_n(t))f^x_1(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
x^*_n(t) = \varphi(0) + \int_0^t \dot{x}^*_n(w)\,dw & \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x^*_n(t) \in \Gamma(t) & \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x^*_n(t) = \varphi(t) & \forall t \in [0, T].
\end{cases}
\]  

(36)

By passing to the limit in (36) we obtain the existence of subsequence \((x^*_n)_n\) still denoted \((x^*_n)_n\) which converges to an absolutely continuous function \(x^*\) and \(\dot{x}^*_n\) converges \(\sigma(L^1, L^\infty)\) to \(\dot{x}^*\). Similarly, we have

\[
\lim_n \tau(\delta_n(t)f^x_n(t)) = \tau(t - s)x^* & \forall t \in [0, T].
\]

Because \(F\) has a closed graph then we obtain \(x^*(t) \in \Gamma(t) & \forall t \in [0, T]\) and \(x^*\) is a solution of

\[
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}(t) \in F(t, \tau(t - s)x) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T] \\
x(t) = \varphi(0) + \int_0^t \dot{x}(w)\,dw & \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x(t) \in \Gamma(t) & \forall t \in [0, T] \\
x(t) = \varphi(t) & \forall t \in [0, T]
\end{cases}
\]  

(37)
5. Conclusion

In the present work, using a set-valued version of Scorza-Dragoni theorem, we weaken the regularity assumptions for the existence of viable solution for first order differential inclusions without delay. Then, we use this result to prove existence results of viable solutions for functional differential inclusions by means of a discretization approach. The viability set is more natural and general than those used previously. We treat the case of a fixed invariance set as well as the variable case.
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